

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

Remimeo HCO BULLETIN OF 30 JUNE, 1970

C/S Series No. 13

VIII ACTIONS

(GF 40, IV Rundown,
VIII Case Supervision)

Inevitably, when any new approach or process is released, some will instantly assume that all "older" (actually more basic) data has been cancelled. There is no statement to that effect. It is not guessed that this will be assumed and so we could lose an entire subject.

We did in fact lose Dianetics for a decade and all but lost Scientology in the following ten years.

A subject can be reorganized and made more workable. That was done in 1969 for Dianetics. BUT IT HAD NEVER BEEN UNWORKABLE!

The 1969 Dianetic Reorganization refined the 1962-63 discoveries of R-3-R. A better communication was made to the user and the preclear.

Amazingly, the reissue of Dianetics as Standard Dianetics caused about a dozen people (even in high places unfortunately) to at once assume that Dianetics wiped out any need for Power, Scientology Clearing or anything else! Even an unauthorized Policy Letter, (not signed by me) and an HCO B (also not signed by me) gave this impression. They were of course cancelled the instant they were discovered to have been sent out.

This idea that the "old" is always cancelled by anything "new" has its root in the idea that a later order cancels earlier orders, which is true. But orders are one thing and Tech basics another.

What if, in the science of physics, a book by Professor Glumph came out, omitting the three laws of motion and gravity. It is assumed then that Newton's laws are no longer valid. Because they are old. (Newton lived between 1642 and 1727.) So some young student engineer is baffled because bridges have weight and can't work out gravity or motion! And he and his fellows begin to build without knowing these laws and there goes the whole of engineering and the culture itself!

This is no fantasy. As a college student in upper math I was utterly baffled by "calculus". I couldn't find out what it was for. Then I discovered it had been developed by Sir Isaac Newton, examined the basics and got the idea. My college text omitted all the basic explanations and even the authorship of the subject! Calculus today is really not enough used because it isn't understood.

Anyway, here's the main surprise: Until 1970 the whole of Scientology was never in use in processing! Students had ridden along with the research line up into the OT sections, discarding the ladder behind them.

For nearly 3 years an increasing proportion of preclears were not actually making it. The gradient to get them onto the bridge had been neglected as "old" when in fact they were not "old" but BASIC.

The amazement of auditors (and their delight) when the HCO B on Auditors Rights (C/S Series No.1) was released indicated that they had become "process oriented" with all the WHY gone.

VIII AUDITING

The 1968 VIII Standardization aimed actually at good TRs, auditing presence, and basics in auditor performance. VIII auditing was developed to handle the OT band.

It is entirely valid. Its only omission was detailed actions now developed as to how to handle a pc or Pre OT who had been pulled up the line and had fallen on his head.

Out Grades was spotted and discussed in detail in VIII auditing.

Giving lower grades fast was the only error. It was not realized in 1968 that End Phenomena of lower grades was not being required.

The re-release of the entire band of Academy and Saint Hill materials in 1970 is a re-emphasis on the validity and necessity of using it ALL on pcs! And in understanding the mind and life! And all this is quite welcome and very successful. Not noticed is that this whole band was never before presented for full use on all pcs. As I say 1950-1969 auditors had been riding with the "newest and latest" because it was "popular". Only a few wise old timers continued to use the most basic actions.

But just as VIII auditing was an unauthorized signal to suppress all that had been known before, so now, with the full release for use of Expanded Lower Grades, a few began to say that VIII Auditing was now "old"!

One assumes then that some like to be able to say that something is now "old". Has a superior sort of ring to it, I guess. Anyway we'd better disregard this tendency to retire basics. It is more amusing than otherwise. So let's get on with the job.

RESISTIVE CASES

The RESISTIVE CASE rundown is an VIII development TO HANDLE THOSE WHO CANNOT MAKE THE GRADES.

It was put into the Green Form as GF 40 so as to preserve it.

To it could now be added "Overwhelmed". This would indicate need of Repair (Progress) and Return (Advance) Programs. But many other indicators exist already.

So when do you use a GF 40?

Let us say the pc has been run on Grade Zero. And at the Examiner cannot or does not attest.

One would first look for simple auditing errors in recent sessions. These would get reviewed and corrected.

One would then look for lower actions than Grade Zero that had been missed.

It still seemed hard to figure out, one would use a GF 40, Resistive Cases.

In essence, if one adds "Overwhelm" to the GF 40 list you have on it all the reasons a pc won't advance IF he has been run on all processes up to that point.

Overwhelm would indicate need of a Repair and Return.

Grade I, Problems, is the usual ordinary reason for no case advance.

Problems shows up as an out-rud in GF 40 and is simply put in as a rud not as a grade.

But if a Grade II or above has a Problem??? That means Grade I is out.

GF 40 remains even more plainly as a "When all else fails".

It is used that way.

When a pc doesn't attest, and all has been done for him otherwise, you use a GF 40.

This was its proper use in the first place.

All such materials except Rapid or Quickie Grades are valid.

And (joke) these remarks on GF 40 Resistive Cases does not wipe out "Repair and Return Programs".

IV RUNDOWN

The so-called IV Rundown as taught on the VIII Course is of course quite valid.

Originally developed to catch cases that had somehow gotten up to OT III and were falling on their heads, it is a collection of actions. It salvaged many cases.

The missing datum was that in recent times these cases were falsely reported to have had their lower grades. THEY, the cases themselves, said they had "had lower grades". This made a mystery. The fact is, with multiple declare (declaring 0 to IV to the Examiner all at one time mostly without any mention of End Phenomena of the grade) these cases were OUT GRADE in the extreme.

The IV Rundown was an effort to catch it all up to make a real OT.

"Out Grades" didn't read as it didn't mean anything to the pc and besides "they'd all been rehabbed a dozen times anyway". But nobody mentioned never having attained any End Phenomena and the Class Chart was never really gotten IN IN IN in the first place.

You will find many pcs have had various parts of the "IV Rundown" run earlier.

For awhile it was the fashion to use the IV Rundown or a part of it on any balky case at any level. At OT IV (which was an audited step and none of it really confidential) the C/S simply ordered run whatever was left of it not already run.

Somewhere on the case all of the IV Rundown still should be run. But of course that would now be on a Return (Advance) Program and well up the line.

If Repair-Return doesn't get a grade made this is the time to do a IV Rundown. On (3) Valence Shifter - LX1, LX2, LX3 lists can be done in triple, recall, secondary, engram. Earlier Practices, Former Therapy can also be triple, recall, secondary, engram.

This is on Page 28 (not 23) of the original VIII Case Supervisor Manual and part of it is also now GF-40.

If a case really needs this he won't be making a lower grade really so the GF 40 or its slightly wider OT IV Rundown can be used.

To both, "Overwhelmed by auditing" should be added in any future issue to indicate a needed repair action.

CASE SUPERVISOR ACTIONS

HCO B 10 Dec 1968 "Case Supervisor Actions" Confidential, VIIIs only, is still valid. It remains Confidential as it mentions some OT phenomena that would spin a Class Va. However, some VIII C/S is going to be told that "Expanded Lower Grades changes all that". It doesn't.

Listen: In the next to last paragraph of the cover page of this manual (HCO B 10 Dec 68) it says:

"Standard Grades are not part of this set-up AS IT IS UNDERSTOOD THAT THE AUDITOR KNOWS THESE. Directions to do Standard Grades are written on a blank sheet." (I have added the block letters for emphasis here.)

At the time this was written I had not discovered that Lower Grades were gone out of use and I let be published Triple Grades which seemed to condense all lower grades. The Major Process or Major Grade Process is definitely not enough to make a pc make a lower grade. I am sorry I gave any support at all to such an idea by not examining the whole scene when it began to show up.

If you add the dozens and dozens of Lower Grade Processes as given in Expanded Lower Grades to the VIII C/S HCO B of 10 Dec 68 and included this C/S Series and its new development of Repair (Progress) and Return (Advance) programs you would have the whole package of C/Sing.

So the VIII actions are all valid.

Auditor classes below VIII have this C/S Series. The AO C/S Course adds in the VIII actions as well.

Any C/S who does not know well "The Original Thesis", "Dianetics: The Evolution of a Science", "Dianetics The Modern Science of Mental Health", "Scientology 8-80" and "Scientology 8-8008" will go badly astray. It is vital to know these books and others in this area, to know what one is trying to handle.

Class VI (SHSBC) tapes and bulletins are all valid and vital to Lower Grade auditing and C/Sing.

I trust this gives the C/S some idea of what is still "in".

It all is.

L. RON HUBBARD
FOUNDER

LRH:dz
Copyright © 1970
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED